

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3857 of 2022

=====

Bindu Kumar Verma, Son of Late Bharat Bhushan Prasad, Resident of Village
- Suggi, P.s. and District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary/Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Jamui.
5. The District Education Officer, Jamui.
6. The District Programme Officer (Est), Jamui.
7. The Headmaster Cum Drawing Disbursing Officer, P.D. Middle School, Jamui.
8. The Accountant General, Bihar Birchand Patel Path, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajeev Pranjan, AC to GP-20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

8 24-04-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition is filed seeking a direction upon the respondent authorities to pay the difference of amount of post retiral benefit including the amount of leave encashment due to 7th pay revision. The petitioner has further prayed for direction to the respondent to grant 3rd MACP to the petitioner alongwith its consequential benefits as well as arrears of salary of matric trained which is yet to be paid.

3. In compliance with the direction of this Court a



counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 6. Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, learned advocate for the State referring to the averments made in the counter affidavit has submitted that vide letter no. 27 dated 02.04.2025 the petitioner has been accorded the difference of amount on account of extending matric trained scale for the period 04.02.2008 to 31.12.2008 which comes to Rs. 65,602/-. It is further contended that the aforementioned amount shall be credited in the account of the petitioner immediately. Further vide memo no. 653 dated 04.04.2025 the amount of the leave encashment under 7th pay revision has also been calculated and as per the available record, the difference amount came to Rs. 46,218/-, which will also be credited in the account of the petitioner.

4. From the counter affidavit though it appears that the admissible amount under the aforementioned heads has been calculated and undertaking has been given that the same shall be credited in the account of the petitioner, however it has not been informed to this Court whether the amount has been credited or not.

5. Considering the averments made in the counter affidavit, this Court deems it fit and proper to dispose off the writ petition with a hope and expectation that the amount as



disclosed in the counter affidavit must be credited in the account of the petitioner, if not credited till date, preferably within a period of four weeks’ from today.

6. The writ petition stands disposed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U			
---	--	--	--

