

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3893 of 2022

-
1. Dhruva Singh @ Dhruv Singh S/o Budhram Singh, Resident of Village - Asni, Rawal Tola @ Baswaria Tola, P.S.- Udwantnagar, District - Bhojpur.
 2. Usha Kumari W/o Shravan Singh Resident of Village - Asni, Rawal Tola @ Baswaria Tola, P.S. - Udwantnagar, District - Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary Ministry of Road Transport and Highway New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highway New Delhi.
3. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary the Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
7. The Collector Cum District Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara.
8. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Bhojpur, Ara.
9. The National Highway Authority of India G-5 and 6 Dwarika New Delhi through it's Chairman.
10. The Chairman, National Highway authority of India G-5 and 6 Dwarika New Delhi.
11. The Regional Officer, National Highway Authority of India S.K. Puri Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Mishra- Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Additional Solicitor General
		Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha (SC 19)
		Mr. Birendra Prasad Singh- AC to SC-19
		Mr. Ram Tujabh Singh- CGC
		Dr. Anand Kumar- Advocate
		Mr. Ravinder Kumar Sharma
		Mr. Maurya Vijay Chandra- Advocate for NHAI
		Ms. Preety Ranjan- Advocate
		Mr. Gaurav Govinda- Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners Mr. Sunil Kumar Mishra, learned AC to SC-19 for the State Mr. Birendra



Prasad Singh, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Union of India Mr. Ram Tujabh Singh and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the National Highway Authority of India Mr. Maurya Vijay Chandra.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners, after some argument realizing his difficulty, seeks permission to withdraw the present writ application with liberty to avail his remedy against the award in accordance with the National Highway Act, 1956.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

5. It is made clear that if issue of limitation arises before the authority competent, the authority competent shall keep in mind that petitioners were pursuing their remedy before this Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

