

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14078 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-142 Year-2023 Thana- KAJRA District- Lakhisarai

Naresh Koda @ Naresh Kumar S/o- Kaleshwar Koda @ Kaleshar Kora  
R/village - Srikishun Korasi, Srighana, P.S - Kajara, Dist. - Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      09-05-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kajra P.S. Case No. 142 of 2023 dated 10.10.2023 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 30 litres of illicit country made liquor was recovered from the sack of the apprehended person, Rajendra Koda. 40 litres of illicit country made liquor was recovered from one sack alleged to be of the petitioner, Naresh Koda. 30 litres of illicit country made liquor was recovered from one sack alleged to be of the co-accused, Kanhaiya Koda.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in the confessional statement of the co-accused, Rajendra Koda. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out against the petitioner. The co-accused person has already been granted anticipatory bail by this court vide order dated 01.02.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 1605/2024. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this



case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Lakhisarai in connection with Kajra P.S. Case No. 142 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure with further condition :-

*(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.*

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Gautam/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

