

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17394 of 2016**

- 
- 
1. Brahamdeo Prasad Yadav S/O late Hakro Prasad Yadav Resident Of Village-Saligrami, Police Station -Sahebpur Kamal, District- Begusarai.
  2. Praveen Kumar S/O Brahamdeo Prasad Yadav Resident Of Village-Saligrami, Police Station -Sahebpur Kamal, District- Begusarai.
  3. Arvind Kumar S/O Brahamdeo Prasad Yadav Resident Of Village-Saligrami, Police Station -Sahebpur Kamal, District- Begusarai.
  4. Ravi Ranjan Kumar S/O Brahamdeo Prasad Yadav Resident Of Village-Saligrami, Police Station -Sahebpur Kamal, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector , Begusarai.
3. The Land Acquisition Officer, Begusarai
4. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reform Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
5. The Director of PARIYOGANA, The National Highway Authority of India, Begusarai.
6. The National Highway Authority of India, G.5-6 Sector 10, Dwarka , New Delhi-110075

... .. Respondent/s

---

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Sajid Salim Khan, SC-25

---

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-08-2025                      Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the  
grant of following relief(s):

*“for issuance of a writ in nature of  
certiorari to quash the notice issued by the  
Respondent No. 3 issued by the competent*



*authority under the law by which the land of petitioners were acquired for Respondent No. 5 & 6. When during the acquisition no notice for acquisition was issued by the Respondents and without following the provisions of Land Acquisition Act (herein referred as "Act") and no step has been taken after filing the objection nor any order was communicated. The step has also not taken for Award in question and/or any other appropriate writs which the petitioners are entitled, and further directed for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents not to take possession over the land in question where the Pucca house and several trees are standing over the land, when the petitioners are in possession of the land from the date of purchase and without considering the objections the acquisition order has been passed in most arbitrary. When the petitioners are living with their family members in the said house, save and except in accordance with the law.”*



3. Mr. Nirmal Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner in the presence of learned State counsel submits that with the passage of time, the writ petition become infructuous.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

vinayak/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

