

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.341 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-553 Year-2024 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

=====

Vinod Kumar Son of Sohit Lal Yadav @ Sahit Lal Yadav R/O Village -
Fatehpur, Ward No. 21, P.S.- Narpatganj, District - Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna BIHAR
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna Patna
3. The Inspector General of Police, Purnea Purnea
4. The Superintendent of Police, Araria Araria
5. Dy. SP Forbisganj, District- Araria Araria
6. The Officer-in-charge, P.S.- Narpatganj, District- Araria Araria
7. Deepak Kumar, Investigation Officer of the case of Narpatganj P.S., District- Araria Araria
8. Amrendra Yadav S/o- Sohit Lal Yadav Residents of Village- Fatehpur, Jharkata, P.S- Narpatganj, District- Araria
9. Rubi Devi W/o- Amrendra Yadav Residents of Village- Fatehpur, Jharkata, P.S- Narpatganj, District- Araria
10. Kamaldeo Yadav S/o- Late Amarchand Yadav residents of Village-Khabdah Kanhauli, P.S- Narpatganj, District- Araria
11. Chandan Yadav son of Kamaldeo Yadav residents of Village-Khabdah Kanhauli, P.S- Narpatganj, District- Araria
12. Mantu Yadav son of Kamaldeo Yadav residents of Village-Khabdah Kanhauli, P.S- Narpatganj, District- Araria

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Durgesh Nandan
For the Respondent/s : Mr.G.P.17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. Pursuant to the order of this Court, the SHO of
Narpatganj Police Station and the I.O. of the case have appeared



in this Court personally and have submitted that the investigation of the case has been concluded against one accused person and charge-sheet has been submitted against him. They have further submitted that investigation with regard to other co-accused is continuing and rest of the accused persons, named in the FIR, have not been found to be involved in the crime.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has made certain allegations against the police for the interpolation/change of FIR.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts, this application is disposed of.

5. So far as the allegation of the petitioner regarding the change of FIR is concerned, the petitioner is given liberty to approach the Court below with regard to the same.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

