

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4101 of 2022

=====

Ram Dayal Chaudhary, S/o Late Lakhan Lal Chaudhary, R/o Village Laxmanpur, Post Jamalpur, P.S. Jamalpur, Dist. Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Kendriya Sainik Board Secretariat, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, Ministry of Defence, Government of India.
2. The Rajya Sainik Board, Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Sainik Welfare, The Rajya Sainik Board, Bihar.
3. The Zila Sainik Board through Zila Sainik Welfare Officer, At Zila Sainik Welfare Office, Munger, At and Post Munger, District-Munger.
4. The Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Branch Jamalpur Railway Colony, Jamalpur, P.S. Jamalpur, Dist. Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Pandey, Sr. C.G.C. Mr. Abhishek Kumar Verma, Advocate Mr. Lokesh, Advocate
For the Bank	:	Mr. Apurv Harsh, Advocate Mr. Manu Tripurari, Advocate Ms. Aditi Sahay, Advocate Mr. Raghu Raj Pratap, Advocate Ms. Jaya Singh, Advocate Mr. Pranshu Prakash, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 19-06-2025 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner, the learned Advocate for the Union of India and the learned Advocate for the State Bank of India.

2. Considering the preliminary objection raised by the respondent Union of India with respect to availability of alternative remedy, learned Advocate for the petitioner after some argument seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition with a liberty to approach before the Armed Forces Tribunal,



Kolkata.

3. In view thereof, the present writ petition stands dismissed with the liberty aforesaid.

4. It is needless to observe that in case the petitioner approaches before the Armed Forces Tribunal, the delay, if any, shall be considered in the light of Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U			
---	--	--	--

