

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14417 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-189 Year-2024 Thana- LALIT NARAYAN UNIVERSITY
District- Darbhanga

=====

Chandan Sahni S/O Late Baidnath Sahani @ Late Baijnath Sahani R/o
Village- Chunabhathi, P.S.- University, Distt.- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratnakar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-03-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest in connection with L.N.M.U P.S. Case no. 189 of 2024, registered under sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 188, 353, 431 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that in course of patrolling, he received information that some persons had kept the dead body of the deceased Mamta Devi and had created a traffic jam at the *chowk*. Further, information was received to the effect that they were burning tyre and raising slogan against the administration. They were forcibly blocking persons from crossing the *chowk*. The informant states



that on reaching the place of occurrence, he saw the 13 named accused persons including the petitioner herein as also 60-70 persons participating in the occurrence, raising slogans and they were not ready to concede inspite of great persuasion. The traffic jam could be removed after a period of three hours.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. From the contents of the FIR, it would be evident that the allegations are general and omnibus in nature. It appears that the protest was being raised because of high handedness of the police in not registering the case on the death of the deceased Mamta Devi. Other co-accused have been enlarged on bail by the learned Court below. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the F.I.R and the petitioner not having any criminal antecedent, it is directed that the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with L.N.M.U P.S. Case no. 189 of 2024 on



furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Darbhanga.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

