

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12388 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-119 Year-2024 Thana- DUMARIAGHAT District- East
Champaran

=====

Bhanu Singh @ Satish Kumar Singh S/O Dina Nath Singh R/O Vill.-
Rampurwa, P.S.- Dumariyaghat, Dist.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 342,
323, 324, 307, 379, 387, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that her son on 31.05.2024 at 9.00 P.M. was coming
back home after selling Litchi, when he was intercepted by the
accused persons including the petitioner along with 5-6
unknown accused near the house of Punyadev and was taken to
the orchard of Tej Pratap Singh, where all the accused assaulted
him by iron rod, farsha and bhala with an intention to kill and



snatched Rs.50,000/-, on alarm, the villagers reached when accused persons fled away from the place of occurrence, thereafter the police was informed and the injured was taken to hospital for treatment.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R., it would manifest that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioner nor informant is an eyewitness to the occurrence. It is next submitted that though the informant alleges that the injured was assaulted by more than 12 accused i.e. both named and unknown but then the injured only suffered two lacerated wound which have been opined to be simple in nature as would manifest from Annexure-2 to the anticipatory bail application. It is thus submitted that had so many accused assaulted the injured in that event the injured would have suffered serious injury.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below



within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Dumariyaghat P.S. Case No.119/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

