

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17533 of 2016

1. Abhay Kumar son of Late Kapil Deo Singh.
2. Kamaleshwari Devi wife of Late Kapil Deo Singh. Both resident of Mohalla Islampur, Patel Nagar, Police Station Islampur, District Nalanda.
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Nalanda.
3. The Additional Collector, Land Reforms, Nalanda.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Hilsa, Nalanda. null null
5. The Circle Officer, Islampur, Nalanda.
6. Sunita Kumar wife of Sri Niranjan Kumar, Resident of Mohalla Islampur, Patel Nagar, Police Station Islampur, District Nalanda.
... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Kant Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, GP-18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

“(A) Issue writ of certiorari for quashing the order dated 29.06.2016 (Annexure-1 series) passed by learned Presiding Officer. Deputy Collector Land Reforms short as D.C.L.R. Hilsa, Nalanda (Respondent no.4) in Land Ceiling Case No. 01/2015-16 where under Respondent no.4 passed order without the jurisdiction and beyond the law Procedure and



directed to petitioners executed deed of sale in favour of Respondent no.6 in Mauza Korwa, Thana No. 95 situated land in question under Khata no.316, Chak No. 170, Area 18.75 Decimal within 30 days of this Revival order.

(B) To issue further writ of certiorari to quash the order dated 29.06.2016 and issue cause to that why order be passed without jurisdiction.

(C) To issue the writ of mandamus commanding the Respondents to discharge their legal obligation to passed such order in favour of Private respondent no.6 in illegal manner.

(D) For proper action against responsible respondent involve in such illegal manner in such order.

(E) For during the pendency of this writ petition order dated 29.6.2016 passed in L.D. Case No. 01/2015-16 passed by Respondent remains stay.

(F) For any relief/reliefs petitioners may be found entitled to.”



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with the change of law/circumstance, the matter has become infructuous.

4. Taking into account the aforesaid facts, the writ petitions stands disposed of as become infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

