

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16615 of 2016

=====

Shambhu Sah son of Shri Jagat Sah resident of village - Parsa, P.S. -
Chanpatia, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Bettiah, West Champaran.
3. The Anchaladhikari, Chanpatia, District - West Champaran.
4. Patiram Sah son of Sukai Sah resident of village - Parsa, P.S. - Chanpatia,
District - West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Chandra Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Raj Kishore Roy-Gp18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2025 Heard Umesh Chandra Verma, learned counsel for the

petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ, order
or direction, particularly in the nature of
mandamus, directing respondent nos. 2 and
3 to implement the order dated 07.09.2012
passed in Registration Case No. 03 of 2012-
13 by the Deputy Collector Land Reform,
Bettiah Sadar, West Champaran, whereby
the Anchaladhikari, Chanpatia was directed*



*to get the disputed land under khata no. 81
khesara no. 2619 area 1 katha Mauza-Parsa
within Chanpatia Anchal, District- West
Champan, measured/demarcated on the
basis of boundaries given in sale-deeds of
both the parties after receiving
measurement-cost from the petitioner, and
then parties be put in possession thereof in
accordance with law.*

3. The petitioner has come up with simple prayer, to get his land measured/demarcated for which he is ready to deposit requisite fee.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the respondent nos. 2 and 3, namely the Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Bettiah, West Champan as also the Circle Officer, Chanpatia, West Champan in next four weeks alongwith requisite fee who shall be taking up the matter and after noticing the parties, will take up the matter to its logical conclusion.

6. Needless to add, if the exercise has already been undertaken in the presence of the petitioner/contesting



respondent, no further steps is/are to be taken.

7. The writ petition accordingly is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

