

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11931 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-592 Year-2024 Thana- BIKRAMGANJ District- Rohtas

Myank Pandey @ Mayank Kumar Pandey Son of Sita Ram Pandey @ Sita
Ram Resident of Village- Tetari, P.S.- Chenari, Distt.- Rohtas (Sasaram).
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate
Mr.Rakesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Mr. Nawal Kishor Agrawal, Advocate
Mr. Kanhaiya Rao, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Rajani Kant Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

7 18-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Bikramganj P.S. Case No. 592/2024, registered for the offence

under Sections 85, 80, 3(5) of BNS and Section 4 of D.P. Act.

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is

in custody since 10.10.2024.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is to cause death

of daughter of the informant alongwith other family members/co-

accused persons due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry as

raised for cash of Rs. 20 lacs and one car. Petitioner is the

husband of the deceased.

5. Mr. N.K. Agrawal, learned senior counsel while



arguing for the petitioner submitted that the wife of the petitioner being short tempered lady, out of domestic quarrel, committed suicide, whereafter as a matter of an afterthought under the garb of dowry demand, the present false case was lodged. It is submitted that the door of room where deceased committed suicide was found closed from inside. It is also submitted that *postmortem* report of the deceased, nowhere suggest that any external injury was found upon her soon before the occurrence *prima facie* negating physical assault. It is also pointed that the allegation of dowry demand is appearing very much general and omnibus *qua* petitioner and he is implicated with this case only for the reason that he is the husband of the deceased. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

6. Learned APP duly assisted by learned counsel Mr. Rajani Kant Singh, appearing on behalf of the informant while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that the marriage of the petitioner with deceased was solemnized in year 2024 only and it was a love marriage, whereafter the demand for dowry was raised by the petitioner and his family members, which was not fulfilled



by the parents of deceased, consequent upon she was tortured on several occasions and ultimately out of cruelty as committed upon her, she committed suicide in her matrimonial home.

7. Considering the aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as the allegation of raising demand of dowry *prima facie* appearing specifically against this petitioner, who is the husband coupled with the fact that daughter of the informant/wife of the petitioner, committed suicide in her matrimonial home which also appears *prima facie* corroborating with postmortem report, accordingly prayer of bail of the petitioner stands rejected herewith for the present.

8. Considering the fact as the petitioner remains in custody since 10.10.2024 i.e. almost about one year, the learned trial court is directed to conclude the trial preferably within one year, failing which the petitioner is at liberty to renew the prayer of bail, if so advise.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

