

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.595 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6682 of 2013

-
-
1. Kumari Prabhawati Mishra Wife of Sri Achyautanand Mishra, Resident Of Mohallah- 23, Mahavir Asthan Lane, Macloeod Ganj, Police Station-Kotwali, District- Gaya
 2. Narendra Kumar Mishra, Son of late Sudhakar Mishra, Resident Of Mohallah- Purana Sahar, Brahman Toli, Ward No. 3, P.S.- Daudnagar, Dist.- Aurangabad (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary Cum Commissioner, Department Of Human Resource Development, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Jan Siksha, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Public Health Engineer Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Engineer-in-Chief-Cum Special Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. S.S. Tiwary, A.C. to AAG 15

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 24-04-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been filed:

*to review the order dated 7.4.2016
passed by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Shiva Ji
Pandey in C.W.J.C. No. 6682 of 2013
whereby the writ application filed by the
petitioners has been dismissed on the on the
ground that " the petitioners are at the verge
of their superannuation. It will not be proper
for entertain such an application and that*



too after lapse of eight years".

3. The short order of the Writ Court dated **07.04.2016**
read as follows:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners are working on Non-Formal Education Programme. After its closure, they were retrenched from the service. Later on, this Court had given sympathetic consideration on their plight and directed for rehabilitation. The petitioners were given a chance to join their respective places but, they failed. Again the Government has shown sympathy, extended the benefit but, could not be materialized.

It appears that the petitioners are at the verge of their superannuation. It will not be proper for this Court to entertain such an application and that too after a lapse of eight years.

With the aforementioned observation, this application is dismissed.

4. Learned State Counsel submits and the learned counsel representing the petitioners too admit that they missed the bus as observed by the Writ Court.

5. In that background, when the petitioners



themselves acknowledge that they missed the bus, no question of review is made out.

6. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

