

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14545 of 2016

=====

Shyam Sunder Kevat Son of Late Jagan Kevat, resident of village- Narraipur,
Ward No. 10, P.S. Bagaha, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Bagaha, West Champaran.
3. The Superintendent of Police, District- Bagaha, West Champaran.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Bettiah, West Champaran.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Bagaha, West Champaran.
6. The Anchaladhikari Bagaha Anchal, District- Bagaha, West Champaran.
7. The Officer In-Charge of SC/ST Police Station, Bagaha, West Champaran.
8. The Officer in Charge of Mahila Police Station, Bagaha, West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Adv. Ms. Purnima Kumari, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Raj Kishore Roy- Gp18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2025 Heard Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel

for the petitioner duly assisted by Ms. Purnima Kumari and Mr.

Raj Kishore Roy, learned GP-18.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*(i) To restrain the respondent no. 2
3,7 and 8 the District Magistrate, the
Superintendent of Police, District- West
Champaran, the Officer in-charge of SC/ST
P.S. Bagaha and the officer-incharge of
Mahila P.S. Bagaha West Champaran*



respectively, from illegally capturing and irrupting the petitioner from his agricultural work on the purchased land of the petitioner with pretext to establish the SC/ST Police Station, and Mahila Police station on it, without initiating a land acquisition case and without following the due procedure, and also to restrain the respondents from dispossessing the petitioner from his own land.

(ii) To direct the respondent authorities to remove the cemented pillars which have been piled on the land of the petitioner for constructing the ST/SC Police Station and Mahila Police Station without prior notice to the petitioner, and without paying any compensation and without following the provisions of the Land Acquisition Act.

(iii) To stay the construction work of the SC/ST Police Station and Mahila Police Station on the land of the petitioner without initiating the land acquisition case and without having legally acquired the same from the petitioner.

(iv) For grant of any relief or reliefs as the petitioner deemed fit, proper and entitled.

3. At the outset, both the petitioner as also the learned



State Counsel submits that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and the respondents have moved out of the said land.

4. In that background, treating the writ petition to be infructuous, disposed of.

5. Before parting, this Court would like to put on record its word of appreciation for Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey as also Ms. Purnima Kumari for the assistance rendered in the matter.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

