

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12071 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-88 Year-2024 Thana- BELA INDUSTRIAL District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Mukund Tiwari S/O Pramod Tiwari @ Promod Tiwari Resident of Village-
Kanhali Vishundat, PS- Mithanpura, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anish Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Aditya Narayan Singh.1

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 21-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bela (Industrial) P.S. Case No. 88 of 2024 dated 28.12.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 112 of the B.N.S. and section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 8431.2 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the truck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents and he is on bail in all the aforesaid cases as stated in para 3 of the bail



petition. The other co-accused persons have already been granted bail by this court *vide* order dated 06.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 2787/2025. The petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the said vehicle. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Muzaffarpur in connection with Bela (Industrial) P.S. Case No. 88 of 2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

