

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12404 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-141 Year-2024 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Nawada

Rajeev Ranjan @ Pappu Yadav Son of Late Jai Prakash Yadav Resident of
Village- Lakhaura, P.S. Sitamarhi, District- Nawada,

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Man Mohan Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Rabindra Kumar Choubey, Advocate
		Mrs. Minu Kumari, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

8 18-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the informant as well as the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 141 of 2024, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109,
118(1), 117(2), 76, 324(4), 324(5), 303(2), 352, 351(2)(B)(3) of the
BNS, 2023.

3. The informant Rajendra Prasad Yadav lodged an FIR
stating therein that on 15.12.2024 at about 5:30 PM, 24 named
accused persons came to his house and the petitioner assaulted the
informant with iron rod on his head. Co-accused Upendra Yadav and
Panchan Yadav assaulted Rubi Devi and co-accused Rakesh Raushan
@ Guddu snatched golden mangalsutra from Rubi Devi.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent. There is case and counter case between the parties. He has further submitted that specific allegation against the petitioner is of assaulting the informant with iron rod on his head but the informant suffered simple injury. He has also submitted that three cases have been brought to the notice of this Court by the learned counsel for the informant by way of counter affidavit and out of those three cases, in two cases, the petitioner has been acquitted and in one case that is related to Forest Act, no summon/notice was received by the petitioner and he came to know about the same on the basis of counter affidavit and in this regard, a supplementary affidavit has been filed. It has further been submitted that one of the member of petitioner side namely, Dilip Kumar who suffered injuries, has lodged his *fardbeyan* on the same day but it was registered after three days. It has further been submitted that co-accused persons have been granted bail by the learned Lower Court.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that other co-accused persons have been granted regular bail but it is an anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, simple nature of injuries and there is case and counter case between the parties, let the petitioner, in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks before the learned court below, be



released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-
(Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the
satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M-VI, Nawada in connection with
Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 141 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid
down under Section 438(2) of the CrPC/482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

