

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11924 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1215 Year-2023 Thana- SHERGHATI District- Gaya

Rajesh Yadav Son of Basudeo Yadav Resident of Village- Kharanti, P.S.-
Bahera, O.P. Dobhi, District- Gaya (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amar Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 12-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.Tr.
No. 653 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 341,
323, 324, 325, 302, 307, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Accusation against the accused persons including
the petitioner is of brutally assaulting the aunt of the informant
as a result of which she died.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and
omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No
specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned



counsel further submitted that allegations levelled against the petitioner is not corroborated by the post-mortem report of the deceased. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 05.12.2023 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the deceased by means of tangi as a result of which she died. Learned APP further submitted that vide order dated 12.09.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 33316 of 2024 and in Cr. Misc. No. 35754 of 2024, prayer for grant of bail to the co-accused persons has already been rejected.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.



If any such application is filed before the learned court below,
the court concerned shall consider the same on its own merit
without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

