

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12085 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-13 Year-2024 Thana- BIHTA District- Patna

=====

Ranjay Kumar @ Ranjan Kumar @ Bhola Kumar Son of Sri Surendra
Paswan @ Surendra Manjhi Resident of Village- Parsuana Parsadi, Ward No.
13, P.S.- Saran, District- Chhapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saurabh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajit Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 26-05-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. A prayer for bail has been made on behalf of the
petitioner in connection with Bihta P.S. Case no.13 of 2024
registered under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case the informant states that 5-
6 miscreants had entered the branch of Mahindra Financial
Services Ltd. with fire arms and looted Rs. 8,57,600/-. It is
further alleged that accused locked the staffs and customers in a
room and left the office of the Branch and also took hard disk of
the CCTV. Hence, the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the F.I.R was registered against unknown. The name of the



petitioner has transpired in this case during the course of investigation when he was taken into custody on 15.01.2024 in a case bearing Motihari Town P.S. Case No. 37 of 2024 when he was arrested along with arms. In the confessional statement made before police in Motihari Town P.S. Case No. 37 of 2024, the petitioner has also admitted his complicity in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already been granted bail in Motihari Town P.S. Case No. 37 of 2024 and after being granted privilege of bail he was remanded on 08.10.2024. Besides his confession there is no other material to connect the petitioner in the alleged crime. However, paragraph no. 58 of the case diary would show that no incriminating article has been recovered from his house. It is also a fact that the petitioner has also not been put on T.I. parade. The petitioner is in custody since 08.10.2024 and undertakes to cooperate in the case/trial. Charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State on the ground that the petitioner is accused in two more cases. In response, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is on bail on the said cases.

6. Taking into consideration the above mentioned



facts of the case, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Bihta P.S. Case no.13 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M-II, Danapur on the following conditions:-

(I) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be the family member/close relative of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall remain physically present in the learned trial Court on each date and shall cooperate in the trial till framing of charge. In case the petitioner is absent on the two consecutive dates, the learned trial Court is at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

