

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13424 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-47 Year-2021 Thana- BHEJA District- Madhubani

Dilip Yadav @ Dileep Kumar Yadav S/o Kamal Prasad Yadav @ Kamal
Yadav R/o Village- Koriyadhant, P.S.- Bheja, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Kumar Bharti, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mohammad Sufyan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

2 11-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and Learned Counsel for the Informant who
appeared *suo moto*.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sessions Trial No.404 of 2024 arising out of Bheja P.S. Case
No.47 of 2021 (corresponding to G.R. No.903 of 2021) lodged
under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 302, 504, 506/34 of the IPC
read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the FIR has been
lodged against 24 named and 10-15 unknown accused persons
with allegation that they have reached on a land which is used to
plough by younger brother and other relative of the informant.
When relatives of the informant forbid them then the petitioner
and others had attacked by *fursa*. The specific allegation is



against Sunil Yadav to assault by iron rod and against rest persons, there is allegation that they have made indiscriminate firing, but by the said firing, no injury has been caused.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits that from the contents of FIR, it becomes crystal clear that land dispute is going between the parties.

5. Counsel further submits that the petitioner's antecedent is not clean. There are 2 criminal cases pending against him in which he is on bail. Counsel further submits that 5 co-accused persons have been granted bail by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.06.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No.20237 of 2023.

6. Counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there is specific allegation against petitioner and his antecedent is also not clean.

7. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that allegation of firing is there, but from the said firing, injury has not been caused.

8. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, let the petitioner above named, be granted bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.30,000/-



(Rupees Thirty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of A.C.J.M.-I, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani in connection with Sessions Trial No.404 of 2024 arising out of Bheja P.S. Case No.47 of 2021 (corresponding to G.R. No.903 of 2021), subject to the following conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

