

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20548 of 2016

=====

Sunil Kumar Thakur son of Bechan Thakur, Resident of Village- Darauli,
P.S.- Durgawati, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The National Highways Authority.
2. The Project Director, National Highways Authority, Sasaram, Rohtas.
3. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Arbitrary cum Additional Collector, District- Kaimur Bhabua.
5. The Competent Authority cum District Land Acquisition Officer, Kaimur,
District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramchandra Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha- Sc19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“for quashing the order dated 07.06.2016
passed by the Additional Collector Cum Arbitrator,
Kaimur (Bhabua) in Misc. Case no. 18/2013-14 by
which he has dismissed the petition of the petitioner
by which he has prayed to treat the land of the
petitioner as commercial by issuance of writ in the
nature of certiorari as well as for issuance of writ in
the nature of mandamus or any other writ or writs
or direction or directions to the respondents to treat*



the land in question of the petitioner as commercial and accordingly to pay the compensation along with reasonable compound interest.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the case of CWJC No. 20462 of 2016 (Sudarshan Thakur Vs The National Highways Authority And Ors.), the State has filed counter-affidavit, according to which, they have revived the matter for an amended award and in that background, the Sudarshan Thakur (supra) has been disposed of.

4. The submission is that though no counter-affidavit is there, this case is similar to the one disposed of earlier.

5. In that background, this Court allows the petitioner to agitate the matter before the appropriate authority, if the same has not been taken to its logical conclusion till date. Needless to add, the respondents shall be taking the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

