

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.386 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-672 Year-2024 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Jamui

=====

Anil Kumar @ Anil Kumar Palangi @ Anil Kumar Hooda S/O Raj Singh Hooda Resident of Village/Mohalla- D-92, D-Block, Yadav Nagar, P.S- Samaypur, Distt.- North West Delhi- 110042.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Home Department, Government of Bihar Bihar
2. The Director General of Police, Patna. Bihar
3. The Superintendent of Police, Jamui. Bihar
4. The Officer-In-Charge, Jamui Bihar
5. Dr. Anil Prasad Singh S/O Late Yadunandan Singh R/O Jai Maa Kali Narshing Home, Hospital Road, P.S- Jamui, Distt.- Jamui (Proprietor Amritesh Agro Products Private Limited, Basbatia Madhopur, P.S- Chandramandi, Distt.- Jamui).

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shambhu Sn Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. G.P.26

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This application has been filed for seeking
following reliefs:

*(i) For quashing the entire
proceeding against the petitioner on the
complaint filed by the private respondent no. 6.
A compliant case filed before the learned Chief
Judicial Magistrate, Jamui vide complaint case
no. 679C/2024 dated 25.06.2024 with false and
concocted prosecution story since the petitioner
has no concern with the respondent no. 6 and
the learned Court below concern has found no
material U/s 420, 467, 406, 468, 471 IPC, since*



the complaint and other enquiry witnesses are silent on those points but the cognizance was taken on 21.09.2024 only U/s 138 N.I. Act against this petitioner through the petitioner has also no concern with any type of instrument with the respondent.

(ii) For Award of proper compensation against the respondent no. 6, for harassment to the petitioner on the basis of such type of false and fabricated complaint case, after holding an appropriate enquiry from any competent agencies.

(iii) For compensation also against the state authority/jail authority for their idle deal in the case of petitioner whereby the petitioner had to spent so many days in custody after granting bail.

3. In my opinion, an appropriate application under Section 482 of Cr.P.C./Section 528 of the B.N.S.S. is maintainable in the facts of the case.

4. In these circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to convert this application into an application for quashing within one week.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

