

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-30 Year-2024 Thana- Hattha District- Muzaffarpur

Manjay sahni Son of Bhajju Sahni Resident of Village- Ramjan Nagar, P.S.-
Chak Mehasi, Distt.- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-04-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State, Shri Rabindra Kumar.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 353, 427, 504 and 506 of the IPC and Sections 30(a) and 45 of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 14.310 litres of liquor from a hut.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and the hut does not belong to the petitioner rather belongs to Shatrudhan Sahni. It is further submitted that the police in mechanical manner implicates.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Hattha P.S. Case No. 30 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

