

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4373 of 2024

Sachidanand Rai @ Sachidanand Roy, son of Late Ram Sunder Rai @ Ransundar Ray, R/o Village-Jajauli, P.S. and Block-Mashrakh, District-Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, District-Siwan.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Maharajganj, Siwan.
5. The Circle Officer, Anchal-Bhagwanpur Hat, District-Siwan.
6. The Officer Incharge, Bhagwanpur Hat Police Station, Siwan.
7. The Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited through its Chairman, Mumbai, Maharashtra, Pin-400020.
8. The Zonal Manager East Central Zone, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bhubneshwar, Orissa, Pin-751006.
9. The Regional Manager, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Lok Nayak Prakash Bhawan, 6th Floor, Patna.
10. Bibha Devi, wife of Ajay Singh, R/o Village-Arna, P.S.-Masrakh, District-Saran, Bihar, Pin-841417.
11. Tara Devi wife of Nityanand Singh, R/o Agya, P.S.-Goreyakothi, District-Siwan.
12. Sunil Singh@ Sunil Kumar Singh Son of Madan Singh Husband of Asha Devi, Resident of Amlori, P.S. Siwan Sadar, District- Siwan.
12. Ranjan Kumar, Son of Sunil Singh@ Sunil Kumar Singh, Resident of Amlori, P.S. Siwan Sadar, District- Siwan.
12. Puja Kumari, D/o Sunil Singh @ Sunil Kumar Singh Resident of Amlori, P.S. Siwan Sadar, District- Siwan.
12. Deepanshu Kumar Singh, Son of Sunil Singh @ Sunil Kumar Singh, Resident of Amlori, P.S. Siwan Sadar, District- Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Krishna Kant Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	AC to GA-5
		Mr. Rajeev Prakash, Advocate
		Ms. Annpurna Prasad, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER



5 17-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of State, H.P.C.L and Respondent No. 10.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the dispute in the instant writ application relates with the Respondent No. 10. It is next submitted that Respondent No. 10 has filed a counter-affidavit and the *lis* in between the petitioner and the Respondent No. 10 has been resolved, as such the petitioner does not intend to pursue with the writ application hence seeks permission to withdraw the writ application in view of the counter-affidavit filed on behalf of Respondent No. 10.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, the instant writ application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

