

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22971 of 2018

-
1. Brahmdeo Yadav Son of Late Ghuter Yadav @ Ghutar Mandal, Resident of Village- Rajpur, Ward No. 8, P.O.- Rajpur, P.S.- Pipra Bazar, District- Supaul.
 2. Shiv Narayan Chaudhary, Son of Late Jaso Chaudhary, Resident of Village- Rajpur, Ward No. 8, P.O.- Rajpur, P.S. - Pipra Bazar, District- Supaul.
 3. Yogendra Yadav, Son of Bhikha Gop, Resident of Village- Rajpur, Ward No. 8, P.O.- Rajpur, P.S.- Pipra Bazar, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Additional Collector, Supaul.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Supaul.
4. Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Supaul.
5. The Circle Officer, Pipra Bazar, Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shambhu Nath, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard Mr. Shambhu Nath, learned counsel for the

petitioners duly assisted by Mrs. Nutan Sahay, learned AC to

AAG-12

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“(i) to direct the respondents not to
disturb the century old peaceful possession of the
petitioners over land in question.*

(ii) To quash the notice dated 13.10.2018



and 27.10.2018 issued by respondent n. 5 in Mutation Cancellation Case No. 01/18-19 and 03/18-19 and 04/18-19 respectively.

(iii) To allow any other relief/reliefs to the petitioners who found entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case.”

3. At the outset, learned State counsel submits that the petitioners have challenged the notice. The further submission is that the Circle Office, Pipra, Supaul has filed an affidavit and as per the paragraph nos. 5 to 9, it is a *Gairmazarua Aam* land.

4. A copy of the counter affidavit was served upon the petitioners on 28.06.2019 and there is no rebuttal to it.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they be granted liberty to approach appropriate authority in the matter.

6. Granting said liberty and without commenting on the merit of the case, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

