

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3375 of 2016

1. Md. Habib @ Habib Son of Late Jainul
2. Md. Jasim Son of Late Jainul
3. Md. Nasumuddin Son of Late Jainul.
4. Md. Ajay Rizwi Son of Md. Anwarul Haque
5. Md. Shoaib Son of Md Anwarul Haque.
6. Haji Basirul @ Basiruddin Son of Late Haji Ulfat Hussain
7. Abdur Rajaque Son of Alabaksh. Resident of Village- Khiradaha Tola, Bhebhra, P.S. Jokihat Distt.- Araria, Petitioner no. 1 -6 Resident of Village Khirdha Tola Boriya, P.S. Jokihat District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. D.C.L.R. Land reform Deputy Collector Araria District Araria.
3. The Circle Officer Jokihat, P.S. Jokihar District- Araria.
4. Md. Ahmarul Haque Son of Late Najmul Haque
5. Md. Ekhalakhe Son of Late Najmul Haque
6. Mohammad Alam Son of Late Najmul Haque
7. Md. Matin Alam @ Matin Son of Late Najmul Haque Respondent 4 to 7 Resident of Village Khirdaha Tola Boriya, P.S. Jokihat, District- Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Manikant Mishra- Gp25

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 15-07-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioners though the State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ/writs in the nature of Mandamus commanding/ direction to the respondent who passed illegal order against the petitioner in case



*no.01 of 2010-11 dated 02.04.11 whereby
D.C.L.R. Araria directed to respondent no.3,
Circle Officer, Jokihat to remove the land of
the respondent from petitioner illegally;
(ii) for that Circle Officer, Jokihat illegally
directed to petitioner his own land
purchased by petitioner from the father and
Uncle of Respondent no.7 through different
registered sale deeds;
(iii) any other relief or reliefs be also
granted to the petitioner from which he is
found to entitle in the fact and circumstances
of the case.*

3. Learned State counsel with the help of the counter affidavit submits that against the order passed by the concerned respondent, the petitioners had alternative remedy but they have come before this Court.

4. Be that as it may, since there is no appearance, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

