

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15008 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-112 Year-2024 Thana- BAGENGOLA District- Buxar

-
1. Pramod Singh @ Pramod Kumar Singh Son of Sidhnath Singh Resident of Village Manaki P.S-Bagengola Dist- Buxar.
 2. Sidhnath Singh Son of Late Ram Dayal Singh Resident of Village Manaki P.S-Bagengola Dist- Buxar.
 3. Reshami Devi @ Khewali Devi wife of Sidhnath Singh Resident of Village Manaki P.S-Bagengola Dist- Buxar.
 4. Sunil Singh Son of Dinanath Singh @ Dinnath Singh Resident of Village Manaki P.S-Bagengola Dist- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Usha Kumari Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Dimple Kumari, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

4 18-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Bagengola P.S. Case No. 112 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 80(1), 238, 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Prosecution story, in short, is that the petitioners along with other family members have killed the daughter of the informant for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case.



Petitioner no.1 is the elder brother of the husband of the deceased, petitioner no.2 is the father-in-law, petitioner no. 3 is the mother-in-law of the deceased whereas petitioner no.4 is the cousin brother of the husband of the deceased. There is general and omnibus allegation against these petitioners. Learned counsel further submitted that there is no specific allegation of demand of dowry from these petitioners. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are in custody since 05.11.2024 and petitioner nos. 1 and 4 are in custody since 04.11.2024. Petitioner nos. 1, 3 and 4 have no criminal antecedent whereas petitioner no.2 has one criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and there being no direct allegation against the petitioners and the period of custody undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Bagengola P.S. Case
No. 112 of 2024.

Alok Verma/-
(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

