

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11846 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2023 Thana- MATIHANI District- Begusarai

Ramadhar Singh @ Ram Aadhar singh Son of Siya Ram singh Resident of
Ward No. 1, Sihma, P.S.- Matihani, Distt.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ranjit Kumar Thakur, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar Seth, Adv.
		Mr. Arvind Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 16-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and Learned Counsel for the Informant.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
S.Tr. No.38 of 2024, arising out of Matihani P.S. Case No.97 of
2023 lodged under Sections 363/34 of the IPC. Later on added
section 302, 201 and 120B of the IPC which is pending before
Addl. Sessions Judge-III, Begusarai.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
bail application of the petitioner has earlier been rejected vide
order dated 29.05.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.10210 of 2024
with direction to the trial court to expedite the trial as early as
possible.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits
that the petitioner's antecedent is not clean. There are 03
criminal cases pending against him. Counsel further submits



that the petitioner has been in custody since 06.07.2023. Counsel also submits that a report regarding present stage of the trial was called for.

5. Learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner's antecedent is not clean. Counsel further submits that out of six charge-sheet witnesses, four charge-sheet witnesses, including informant, were examined and two charge-sheet witnesses are yet to be examined. In the report, it has also come that the bail bonds of two other co-accused persons were forfeited and NBW was issued against him.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

7. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby **rejected**.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

