

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11459 of 2021

=====

Akhileshwar Singh @ Akhileshwar Kumar Singh @ Akhileshwar Singh Son
of Late Mushan Singh resident of Mohalla- Shahjadpur Andarkila, Police
station- Hajipur (T), District- Vaishali at Hajipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Land Reforms and
Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Bhojpur
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Bhojpur
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bhojpur
5. The Circle Officer, Sandesh, Bhojpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Lokesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Subodh Kumar Mishra (Gp15)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 18-11-2025 At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the

petitioner submits that though the instant writ application has

been filed with a prayer to direct the respondent no. 5, the Circle

Officer, Sandesh, Bhojpur to return the petitioner's original

Land Possession Certificate (LPC) which had been taken by the

said respondent in fraudulent manner under the false pretext and

after filing this writ application, from the annexure-D to the

counter affidavit filed by the State it comes in the knowledge of

the petitioner that the respondent no. 5 has cancelled the Land

Possession Certificate which had been issued in favour of the

petitioner without giving any opportunity of hearing to the



petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner's writ petition has now become infructuous because the original Land Possession Certificate, for which return a prayer is made in this application has already been cancelled, however, the petitioner wants to challenge the order of the respondent no. 5 by which petitioner's Land Possession Certificate has been cancelled, so, in this regard, petitioner may be given a liberty.

3. Considering the aforesaid submission, the instant writ petition stands disposed of as being infructuous, however, the petitioner will have a liberty to challenge the order of respondent no. 5 by which his Land Possession Certificate has been cancelled under the prevalent revenue laws. If the petitioner avails this liberty within the next six weeks then the time spent by the petitioner in this writ application shall be excluded while computing the necessary limitation period.

4. This writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

siddharthkr/BKS

-

U			
---	--	--	--

