

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20492 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-248 Year-2016 Thana- MASAUDHI District- Patna

-
1. Fuchkani Devi @ Sunita Devi W/O Late Julus Manjhi R/O Village-
Nayamatpur, P.S.- Masaudhi, District- Patna
 2. Chaturi Manjhi S/O Nagina Manjhi R/O Village- Nayamatpur, P.S.-
Masaudhi, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Vishwa Ranjan Choudhary, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Nand Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 10-07-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 47 and 52
of the Excise Act.

3. As per prosecution case, total 32 litres illicit
country made liquor was recovered out of which 4 litres were
recovered from the house of Petitioner No. 1 and 5 litres were
recovered from the house of Petitioner No. 2.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners
submits that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been
implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been



recovered from the conscious possession of these petitioners and they are in no way connected with the aforesaid recovery.

5. On the other hand, learned APP appearing for the State submits that petitioners are not entitled to the privilege of anticipatory bail in view of decision of the Full Bench of this Hon'ble Court rendered in the case of *Ram Vinay Yadav vs. The State of Bihar* reported in *2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089 (F.B.)*. Petitioners have got one criminal antecedent of similar nature.

6. Considering the aforesaid decision of this Court and fact that petitioners have got one criminal antecedent of similar nature, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to this petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

