

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3172 of 2025

=====

Jyoti Kashyap Wife of Sri Pushpesh Kumar Kashyap, Resident of Village and P.O.- Barpa, P.S.- Pouthu, District- Aurangabad, Presently residing at Barpa Niwas, Upper Bilasi Town, Deoghar, P.S. and District- Deoghar (Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The District Education Officer, Jamui.
4. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Jamui.
5. The Block Education Officer, Jhajha, P.O. and P.S.-Jhajha, District- Jamui.
6. Sri Sudhir Kumar, the Headmaster, Middle School, Cum U.H.S., Simultalla, Jhajha, P.S.- Jhajha, District- Jamui.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Parmanand Pd. Nr. Sahi
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Addl. Advocate General (13)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 27-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following reliefs:

“(I) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of MANDAMUS for commanding and directing the respondents especially Headmaster of School in question for acceptance of joining dated 01.01.2025 submitted in response to the direction of competent authority and extend benefits attached to post in accordance with law and further prayer for litigating cost in view of unnecessary litigation and for other necessary relief/ reliefs on the basis of facts and circumstances of the case as stated, enumerated



and discussed hereinafter.”

3. Considering the relief(s) sought by the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to file a fresh representation before the Respondent No.3 along with all supporting documents within a period of two weeks and the respondent no.3 is well advised to consider the case of the petitioner after affording opportunity of personal hearing or through his counsel and pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law within six weeks thereafter.

4. It is made clear that the Respondent No.3 shall be liable for non-compliance of this order within the stipulated period.

5. With the aforesaid direction, the writ application stands disposed of.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not gone to the merit of the case.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U			
---	--	--	--

