

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14945 of 2016

=====

Manorma Kumari Wife of Late Amar Singh, Resident of village- Chiraiyan,
P.S.- Warsaliganj, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Nawada.
3. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Nawada.
4. The Circle Officer, Warsaliganj, District- Nawada.
5. Shyama Devi, Wife of Late Bhagwat Singh, Resident of village- Sherpur Sambey, Tola- Nagpur, P.S.- Warsaliganj, District- Nawada.
6. Ram Krishna Mahto, Son of Gobardhan Mahto, Resident of village- Sherpur Mode, P.S.- Warsaliganj, District- Nawada.
7. Rameshwar Yadav.
8. Ganaur Yadav. Both sons of Triloki Yadav,
9. Kedar Yadav.
10. Banke Yadav. Both sons of Late Bundi Yadav. All Sl.No. 7 to 10 are Resident of village- Sherpur Sambey, Tola- Nagpur, P.O.- Shambey, P.S.- Warsaliganj, District- Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Arun Kumar Arun, Advocate Mr. Karamchand Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus or an appropriate writ order or direction commanding the respondents particularly respondent No.2 to 4 to get the



land of the petitioner, who is a widow properly measured and demarcated with the peaceful possession over the land measuring 14 decimals appertaining to revisional survey Plot No.1739, Khata No.1534, Village-Chiraiyan,P.S.-Warisaliganj, District Nawada, as the right of property is constitutional right of a citizen of India as envisaged under Article 300A of the Constitution of India in the facts and circumstances of the case, And/or pass such other order/orders which may deemed fit proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be challenging the order in question by approaching the appropriate authority but there has been some delay as he was pursuing the case before this Court as the contention is that she is still aggrieved with the measurement made.

4. Learned G.P.-14 submits that in that case, alongwith requisite fee, she has to simply file an application



before the appropriate Revenue authority.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she shall be doing so in next four weeks.

6. If such petition is preferred alongwith the requisite fee, the concerned Revenue authority shall take up the matter and would keep in mind that the matter was pending before this Court for nine long years while considering the delayed application.

7. The writ petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

