

TIN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11479 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-245 Year-2024 Thana- DHANAHA District- West Champaran

Rampal Yadav, aged about 24 years, Male, Son of Mainekar Yadav @ Sukhal Yadav, Resident of Village- Padari Mathiya, Police Station- Lauriya, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brij Kishor Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narsingh Tanti, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND MALVIYA
ORAL ORDER

4 14-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Dhanaha P.S. Case No. 245 of 2024 instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 331(4), 305, 324(4) of the B.N.S.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the accused person
(Rampal Yadav) stealing Rs. 14,00,000/- cash, two mobile
phones and one Motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR 22BJ
4253 was seen in a CCTV footage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has
falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that petitioner
has not been seen stealing those articles as mentioned in written



application of the informant. He further submits that petitioner was working as staff in the informant's agency and when he demanded his labour cost, he has been implicated in this case. Nothing any incriminating articles has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He next submit that the petitioner has been made scapegoat in this case due to personal grudge of the informant. He lastly submits that there is no any evidence available on the record to connect the petitioner in the alleged occurrence. Petitioner is in custody since 14.11.2024.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail.

6. From perusal of the case diary, FIR and impugned order of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Bagaha, West Champaran dated 08.01.2025, it appears that petitioner is named in the FIR. From perusal of the records, it appears that on the basis of written report of the informant Nandlal Gupta, FIR has been lodged under Sections 331(4), 305, 324(4) of the B.N.S.S. against the present petitioner. Petitioner has got one criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the petition is in custody since 14.11.2024. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that both parties were entered into compromise and settle the matter and a compromise petitioner has already file before the learned trial Court on 18.03.2025 and a certify



copy of the said compromise petition is on record, so considering all these aspects of the matter, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly the prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed. Let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-1st, Class Bagaha, West Champaran in connection with Dhanaha P.S. Case No. 245 of 2024, subject to the condition that the learned Trial Court shall verify the compromise petition before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Anand Kr.

U		T	
---	--	---	--

