

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11112 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-549 Year-2024 Thana- KANTI District- Muzaffarpur

1. Jayprakash Singh S/O Late Chathu Singh @ Late Chhaththu Singh R/O Village- Keshopur Babhangawn @ Keshopur Bhabhangawn, Police Station-Paroo, District- Muzaffarpur
2. Krishna Kant Kumar @ Munchun Singh @ Munchun S/O Jayprakash Singh R/O Village- Keshopur Babhangawn @ Keshopur Bhabhangawn, Police Station- Paroo, District- Muzaffarpur
3. Shreekant Kumar @ Sonu Singh @ Sonu S/O Jayprakash Singh R/O Village- Keshopur Babhangawn @ Keshopur Bhabhangawn, Police Station-Paroo, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar S.K., Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 103, 61(2) & 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 14.10.2024 at about 7 PM, while father of informant was returning from Kanti, 4-5 unknown miscreants surrounded and shot him. On hearing the sound of firing, nearby people reached there and miscreants fled away on motorcycle. Thereafter, father of



informant was taken to hospital and in course of treatment, he died. Informant suspects that these petitioners, by hatching a conspiracy with some unknown miscreants, got her father killed due to some property dispute.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case due to property dispute. Informant is not eye-witness to the occurrence. As per F.I.R., it was unknown miscreants, who committed offence and petitioners are only alleged to be conspirator. There is no specific allegation of overt act against these petitioners. Petitioner no. 2 & 3 have got clean antecedent, whereas petitioner no. 1 is accused in one case, in which, he is on bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. - 3rd (West), Muzaffarpur in connection with Kanti P.S. Case No. 549 of 2024, subject to



condition as laid down under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik
Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

