

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16888 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-236 Year-2015 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Gautam Kumar Sharma @ Gautam Kumar S/O Janardan Sharma @ Jay
Bardhan Sharma Resident of Village- Mahuari, P.S. and District- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Patna
2. Durga Kumari, D/o - Om Prakash Shaw R/o- Baidrabad, P.S.- Arwal District
- Arwal.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mayank Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Madhuri Lata, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

7 20-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, informant
and the State .

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 328 , 307 and 120 (B) of
IPC.

3. As per the prosecution case, the marriage of the
informant was solemnized with the petitioner, Gautam Kumar
Sharma, in the year 2013, after which she commenced residing
at her matrimonial home. It is further alleged that on
04.06.2015, at approximately 07:00 AM, the petitioner, in
connivance with other co-accused persons, forcibly
administered adulterated tea to the informant, consequent to



which she had to be admitted to PMCH for urgent medical treatment. The incident is stated to have occurred when the informant had gone to a hotel to deliberate upon the pending case she had previously instituted against the petitioner for having allegedly abandoned and deserted her merely three months after the marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is husband of the victim. By filing supplementary affidavit, it is further submitted that due to the intervention of well-wishers of both parties, the case has been compromised, and for the same, a compromise petition has been filed in the court below and both the petitioner and informant are living happily together.

5 . Learned counsel for the APP for the State does not controvert the contention made on behalf of petitioner.

6. Considering the fact that matter has been compromised in between the parties and other circumstances of the case , this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of CJM, Jehanabad in connection with Jehanabad PS Case No. 236 of 2015, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

