

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20389 of 2016

=====

Smt. Pramila Devi W/O Sri Lall Jee Mahto, Resident of Village- Udian Tola,
P.O. Kamariaon, P.S. Tiwar, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Collector, Bhojpur, at Arah.
3. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Jagdishpur, District-Bhojpur.
4. The Circle Officer, Jagdishpur, district-Bhojpur.
5. Ram Ashray Singh @ Ram Ishwar Mahto, Son of Late Ram Bilash Singh,
resident of village-Udian Tola, P.O. Kamariyan, P.S. Tiwar, District-Bhojpur.
6. Madan Chaubey, son of Late Anant Chaubey, resident of village-Sikaria,
P.O. Sikaria, P.S. Tiwar, District-Bhojpur.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Roy- Gp18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner.

However, Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, learned GP-18 is present.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the
following reliefs:

*“That this writ application is preferred for
issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order/orders,
direction/directions nature of Mandamus for
Commanding and directing the respondent
authorities with respect to an order decide the case
of the petitioner, which is pending since 2014 in
spite of the several efforts the respondent no.2 did
not hold the court and during the pendency of
Ceiling Appeal No.11 of 2013-14. The respondent
no.3 D.C.L.R. Jagdishpur had executed the sale
deed on 30.09.2016 in favour of the Respondent
No.5 and on the basis of that private Respondent is
bent upon the dispossessed the peaceful possession*



of the petitioner after execution of sale deed by Surendra Prasad, Chief Assistant of D.C.L.R. District Bhojpur. The petitioner approached to the Collector, Bhojpur at Arah requesting him to stay the impugned order dated 10.02.2014, but unfortunately the Collector, Bhojpur has refuse to considered the grievances of the petitioner. The petitioner prayed for a direction may be issued to the State Respondents as well as private respondents not to disturb the peaceful possession of the petitioner till the disposal of Ceiling Appeal No.11 of 2013-14 by issuance of appropriate writ and direction, commanding the concerned respondents not to enforce the illegal order.”

3. In the present case, it has been informed by the learned State counsel that it is a pre-emption matter and stands abated. Though the writ petition has become infructuous, since there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U			
---	--	--	--

