

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10739 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-706 Year-2024 Thana- GARKHA District- Saran

=====

Monu Ray @ Manu Ray @ Mou Ray @ Mony Ray S/O Lalbabu Ray R/O
Village- Fatanour Bhainsmara, Police station- Garkha, District- Saran
(Chapra).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brij Kishor Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narsingh Tanti, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

5 18-06-2025 Heard Mr. Brij Kishor Mishra, the learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Narsingh Tanti, the

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 109
and 3(5) of the Brartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case is to the effect that the
informant has stated that on 10.11.2024, the named accused
persons including the petitioner had thrown cracker bomb twice
upon the informant with an intention to kill and the second
bomb inflicted severe injury on the right hand of the informant.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to longstanding dispute. It has further been submitted that the injury, though is said to be grievous, however, the same is on the fingers of the right hand i.e. a non-vital part of the body. The learned counsel further submits that there was no intention to kill the informant as a cracker bomb is said to have been thrown on him. The learned counsel lastly submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and is in custody since 20.12.2024.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and has stated that on account of the bomb thrown upon the informant, the right hand of the informant has been damaged due to the same and hence the petitioner does not deserve liberty of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and taking into account that the injury has been inflicted on a non-vital part of the informant and as well as the period of custody, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of regular bail.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

8. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in



connection Garkha P.S. Case No. 706 of 2024,
subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his
close relative and the other shall be local resident.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in
Court on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in
violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner
will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(iv) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal
antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found
that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the
court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the
petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the
above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in
the name of verification.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

