

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11991 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-579 Year-2024 Thana- BIDUPUR District- Vaishali

Aditya Rai @ Aditya Kumar Son of Pramod Ray @ Pramod Kumar Resident
Of Village- Chakdhabauli, PS- Bidupur, Distt.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Umesh Rai Son of Nandu Rai Resident Of Village- Chakdhabauli, PS- Bidupur, Distt.- Vaishali

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivjee Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 12-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Bidupur P.S. Case No. 579 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 96, 64 of the B.N.S. and section 4/6 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, on the alleged date of occurrence, the petitioner and other accused persons alleged by kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant for the purpose of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has been implicated in this case due to misconception. The petitioner has clean antecedent and he is in custody since 20.09.2024. Learned counsel further submits that statement of the victim has been recorded u/s 183 BNS in which she has stated that she herself had left the house on 11.09.2024 at 10.00 P.M. and came to the house of her lover Aditya Kumar (petitioner). She has also stated that she has solemnized marriage with the petitioner. She has not stated anything about her kidnapping in the entire statement. Learned counsel further submits that after completion of investigation, charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State as well as informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and considering the statement of victim u/s 183 B.N.S. and period under custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail after framing of charge, if charge not framed on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Special Judge, POCSO, Vaishali at



Hajipur in connection with Bidupur P.S. Case No. 579 of 2024.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

