

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12429 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-68 Year-2024 Thana- PUNPUN District- Patna

Lalan Yadav S/o Nageena Yadav R/o Teliyanpar O.P. Kewra, P.S.- Punpun,  
District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                  |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate   |
| For the State        | : | Mr.Md. Matloob Rab, APP          |
| For the informant    | : | Mr. Shahrukh Shiddiqui, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      13-05-2025                      Heard Mr. N.K. Agrawal, learned Senior Counsel for  
the petitioner and Mr. Shahrukh Shiddiquie representing the  
informant beside the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection  
with Punpun P.S. Case No. 68 of 2024 instituted under Sections  
341, 323, 307, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code lodged on  
09.03.2024 by the informant, Manoj Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged  
that his brother was requested to do photography in a marriage  
for which Rs. 20,000/- was due. When the same was demanded  
from this petitioner, he gave ‘Kudal’ blow on the head causing  
serious injury. When his brother raised alarm, allegation is that  
the petitioner’s sons Risu Kumar and Amar Kumar as also his



wife armed variously and assaulted both the informant and his brother causing injuries. This led to the FIR after they were shifted to PMCH, Patna.

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that there is case and counter case, the counter version is that on demand, he was informed that payment has been made, whereafter abuse took place which followed assault in which the informant's side also suffered injuries.

5. In this case, the informant is appearing and he has taken this Court to the injury report to show that skull has been injured and the same has been found to be grievous in nature.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts as also that a vital organ has been injured and the same has been found to be grievous, in that background, it would be appropriate that the petitioner seek bail.

7. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

