

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12849 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-920 Year-2024 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

Pintu Kumar Son of Krishna Rai Resident of Village- Baburbani, P.S.-  
Sonepur, District- Saran At Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dewendra Narayan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      02-04-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of  
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has antecedent of six cases and allegation is of  
recovery of 170 litres of liquor from a place near bank of a river  
at village Baburbani. It is next submitted that petitioner was not  
arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his  
conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place  
which does not belong to the petitioner and is accessible to  
public at large and he came to be implicated based on secret  
information which is the easiest way to implicate someone.



4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sonapur P.S. Case No.920/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than six cases, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of six cases, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|



