

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12063 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-101 Year-2024 Thana- HUSSAINGANJ District- Siwan

Sanjay Kumar Ram @ Sanjay Kumar S/O Birendra Kumar Ram Resident of
Village - Harihans Dakhin Tola, P.S- Hussainganj, Dist- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 32856 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-101 Year-2024 Thana- HUSSAINGANJ District- Siwan

Ajuba Kumar Ram S/O Birendra Kumar Ram Resident of Village- Harihans,
Dakhin Tola, P.S.- Hussainganj, Dist.- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 12063 of 2025)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 32856 of 2025)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pradeep Narain Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL ORDER

4 13-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. These are the first bail applications for grant of
anticipatory bail of the petitioners apprehending their arrest in
connection with Hussainganj P.S. Case No. 101 of 2024
registered for the offence under Section 379 of the Indian Penal



Code. Both the bail applications are related with the same P.S., therefore, both the petitions are being heard together and is disposed of by this common order.

3. According to the case of prosecution, it is alleged that on 10.03.2024 at night some unknown persons were stolen the tractor and trolley of the informant from his factory. The said tractor has been seized on 03.06.2024 from the possession of co-accused Mannu Kumar and Sawan Kumar Yadav. In their confessional statement, they have disclosed the name of the present applicants.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no legal evidence available on record to implicate them for the alleged crime in question. The seized vehicle is also seized from the possession of co-accused Mannu Kumar and Sawan Kumar Yadav. Therefore, on this ground alone the petitioners are entitled to get benefit of anticipatory bail.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the arguments raised by the counsel for the petitioners.

6. Heard.

7. Perused the case diary and other materials available



on record.

8. Considering the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioners and particularly considering the fact that the names of the applicants have been disclosed by the co-accused persons in their confessional statement without commenting any merit of the case, I am of the view that it is a case where the petitioners should be granted benefit of anticipatory bail.

9. Accordingly, the application is allowed.

10. Let the above named petitioners be released on bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the A.C.J.M.-IX, Siwan in connection with Hussainganj P.S. Case No. 101 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. 2023.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

shailendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

