

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11647 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-527 Year-2021 Thana- MOTIHARI MUFASIL District- East
Champaran

=====

Bhushan Kumar Son of Harendra Rai Resident of Village - Sihuliya, P.S. -
Muffasil, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 05-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Muffasil
P.S. Case No. 527 of 2021 registered for the offences punishable
u/s 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, when driver Uday Kumar and
Anil Kumar were returning after distributing gas cylinders, four
unknown persons on two motorcycles surrounded them and on
the point of pistol, they snatched cash-memo and cash Rs.
40,385/-.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that
petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. No
such occurrence as alleged has ever taken place. He has falsely



been implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is totally false and based on concocted facts. He is not named in the FIR, but during the course of investigation in Muffasil P.S. Case No. 536 of 2021, the petitioner was arrested by the police and he confessed his own involvement as well as involvement of co-accused persons in the instant case. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in custody since 03.12.2024, but no Test Identification Parade has been conducted yet. He has two criminal antecedents as mentioned in para 3 of the bail application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of the offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

8. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

