

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19540 of 2016

=====

Pramila Devi wife of Sri Shambhu Prasad Singh resident of Village
Mathurapur, P.O.- Garahi, P.S.- Jandaha, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Chair Person, The Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Additional Collector, Vaishali.
5. The Dy. Collector, Land Reform, Mahua, Vaishali.
6. Dinesh Kumar @ Dinesh Singh Chandravanshi.
7. Mukesh Singh Chandravanshi.
8. Mahesh Singh Chandravanshi.
9. Umesh Singh Chandravanshi. All are sons of Late Bajarangi Chandravanshi.
All are resident of Village- Mathurapur, P.O.- Garahi, P.S.- Jandaha, District-
Vaishali.
10. Brij Bhushan Kumar Sinha @ Braj Bhushan Kumar Sinha son of Late
Surendra Nath Sinha, resident of Village- Mathurapur, P.O.- Garahi, P.S.-
Jandaha, District- Vaishali.
11. Rabindra Mahto.
12. Ganesh Mahto. Both are sons of Indradeo Mahto. Both are resident of
Village- Basudeopur Chandel, P.S.- Mahnar, District- Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan-SC-25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 21-08-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“for issuance of an appropriate writ for
setting aside the order dated 17.08.2016 passed by*



the Bihar Land Tribunal Patna in B.L.T. Case No.2 of 2016 as contained in Annexure-5 by which the order dated 02.11.2015 passed by the Respondent Divisional Commissioner Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur in Ceiling Pre-emption Revision Case No.170 of 2014 has been set aside which was filed by the petitioner under section 32 of Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) Act 1961.”

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this being the pre-emption matter, now in the change scenario, stands abated.

4. In that background, he prays for withdrawal of the petition.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

