

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9806 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2024 Thana- DHANSOI District- Buxar

=====

Dablu Chaudhary S/O Late Rajendra Chaudhary Resident of village-
Kakariya, P.S.-Dhansoi, Dist.- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Gupta

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anish Chandra

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 12-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application

for grant of regular bail in a case registered for the

offences punishable under Section 309(4)of the Bharatiya

Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on the

alleged date of occurrence, while the informant was

proceeding to the C.S.P. Centre, which he runs at Banni

Market, on his motorcycle, he was intercepted by two

miscreants riding on black coloured motorcycle. At that

time, another miscreant also arrived at the spot. It is



alleged that the miscreants assaulted the informant by slapping him and, at gunpoint, asked him to hand over whatever he had in his possession. Out of fear, the informant handed over a sum of ₹1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh). The miscreants also took away the informant's motorcycle and fled from the scene.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Neither the petitioner is named in FIR nor anything incriminating has been recovered from his possession. The name of petitioner sprag up in this case on the basis of his self confessional statement as well as confessional statement of co-accused. Moreover, petitioner is in judicial custody since 20.11.2024.

5. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner and submitted that from perusal of para 142 of the case diary it is evident that informant has identified the petitioner in T.I. Parade, before the witnesses. It is further submitted



that petitioner has got four antecedents.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation as well as material available in the Case Diary, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. Trial court is directed to expedite the trial since the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since long.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Nirajkrs/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

