

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2496 of 2016

1. Dilip Prasad Singh and Ors Son of Late Nawal Kishore Prasad Singh,
2. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Son of Late Bibhishan Prasad Singh,
3. Nagendra Prasad Singh, Son of Late Kaushal Kishore Singh,
4. Shibram Singh @ Shiv Ram Singh, Son of Late Ram Singar Singh,
5. Sunaina Devi, Wife of Late Ram Pukar Singh, All resident of village -
Dhanaur, Police Station - Katra, District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Finance Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department and Revenue and Land Reforms,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Land Acquisition and Rehabilitation Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna. null null
6. The Engineer - in - Chief, Water Resources Department, Government of
Bihar, Patna.
7. The Director, Land Acquisition, Government of Bihar, Patna.
8. The Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
9. The District Magistrate - Cum - Collector, Muzaffarpur.
10. The Additional Collector, Muzaffarpur.
11. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, East Muzaffarpur.
12. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Muzaffarpur.
13. The Special Land Acquisition Officer, Gandak Yojana, Muzaffarpur.
14. The Circle Officer, Katra, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ravi Shanker Pankaj, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, AC to AAG-15

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 15-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the



following relief(s):

“(i) For payment of compensation amount to the petitioners for their lands acquired under Bagmati Project in the Village-Dhanaur, Chadar No. 3 for construction of Ring Bandh in the year 2010-11.

(ii) For direction to the authorities to decide the categories of acquired land for payment of compensation to the land holders.

(iii) For direction to the authorities to make payment to the land lord through Account payee cheque in the Village after holding a camp.

iv) For direction to the authorities to pay the compensation amount with compound interest at statutory rate.

(v) Any other relief/reliefs may be granted to these petitioners under the facts and circumstances of this case.”

3. A counter-affidavit has come on behalf of the respondent no. 13 and paragraph-7 shows that the land of the petitioners never came to be acquired nor the possession was handed over to Executive Engineer. In that background, no



construction was made and thus, question of declaring the award/making payment of compensation does not arise.

4. This counter-affidavit was filed in the year 2016. The reply to the counter-affidavit however makes a denial without any relevant document.

5. In that background, granting liberty to the petitioner that contrary to the specific statement made by the State-respondent that no construction has been made, the story is otherwise, will have the right to approach the District Collector with appropriate petition.

6. The writ petition as also the Interlocutory Application stands disposed of with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

