

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16184 of 2016

=====

Sanjay Kumar Sahu S/o Late Ram Vilas Sahu Resident of Village-Mahra,
P.S.-Singhiya, District-Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Samastipur
3. The Deputy Collector, Land and Reforms, Samastipur, District-Samastipur
4. The Circle Officer, Singhia District-Samastipur
5. Amit Kumar Nayak S/o Dhganeshwar Nayak Resident of Village-Nayaktola,
Under town Rosera Ward No. 2, P.S.-Rosera, District-Samastipur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Parth Sarthy, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Nawneet Kumar, AC to GP-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2025 Heard Mr. Parth Sarthy, learned counsel for the

petitioner and learned AC to GP-18.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“i). Issuance of a direction/order or
proper writ in the nature of a writ of mandamus
commanding the respondents to restrain
respondents not to disturb the petitioner from
peaceful residing over the land pertaining to Thana
No. 141, Khata No.- 29 (Old), New 446,31 Khesra
New 1272, 886 384(Old), 289(Old) total measuring*



an area of 06 deci-mals respectively.

(ii) Issuance of a direction/order or writ including writ in the nature of a writ of certiorari to quash the notice dated 11.06.2016 and 08.08.2016 issued by Circle Officer, Singhia, Samastipur calling upon the petitioner to vacate the abovesadi land and be informed in office by 23.08.2016 by setting aside Basgit Parcha contrary to order passed by Circle Officer, Singhia in Basgit Case No. 05/2012-13 by which no where under the said land was allotted in the name of Mst. Malti Devi mother of petitioner being privilege person having landless.

(iii) Issuance of direction/orders or writ including writ of certiorari to quash the order dated 06.02.2016 passed by Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Samastipur in Basgit Purcha Case No. 2/2014 by which and whereunder learned. D.C.L.R., set aside the order passed in Basgit Case No.-5/2012-13 issued by Circle Officer, Singhia allotting land in question in the name of petitioner.

(iv). Issuance of a direction/directions



order/orders to which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner after some arguments, submits that it will suffice if the Collector, Samastipur takes note of the fact and after hearing the parties, passes an order.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to it.

5. In that background, the writ petition stands disposed of allowing the sole petitioner to approach the respondent no. 2, the Collector, Samastipur who shall be noticing all the relevant parties and shall be taking the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

