

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18440 of 2016

=====

Manju Devi wife of late Arvind Kumar Singh @ Bachcha Singh, resident of village- Takeyan, P.O. Paiga, Police Station- Bheldi, Anchal- Amnour, District- Saran Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Collector, Saran at Chapra.
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Marhowrah, District- Saran.
4. The Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chapra.
5. The Circle Officer, Amnour, District- Saran.
6. The National Highway Authority of India through its Project Director, Saran at Chapra.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bashishtha Narayan Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Roy- GP-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-08-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“for issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari or any other appropriate writ or writs for quashing the order dated 25.7.2016 passed by the respondent no.5 vide which he has rejected the petition filed by the petitioner for issuance of L.P.C. (Land Possession Certificate) with regard to the land appertaining to Khata No.153, Khesra



No.467, area 13 Katha 4 Dhurs situated in mauza Patrahi Khurd, Thana No.262.

Further for directing the respondent no.2 to get an enquiry held by a competent officer under him or by him itself about verification of the possession of the petitioner over the land appertaining to Khata No.153, Khesra No.467, area 13 Katha 4 Dhurs and directing the respondent no.5 for issuance of L.P.C. (Land Possession Certificate) in favour of the petitioner considering the fact that in favour of the petitioner already after verification L.P.C. (Land Possession Certificate) was issued by the then Circle Officer, Amnour, Saran on 20.4.2012 and L.P.C. (Land Possession Certificate) issued in favour of Arvind Kumar Singh, the late husband of the petitioner on 8.3.2011 by the then Circle Officer, Amnour, Saran.

For passing such other order or orders for which the petitioner will be found entitled in the eye of law.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Bashishtha Narayan Mishra in the presence of Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, learned



GP-18 prays for withdrawal of the petition submitting that it has now become infructuous.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

