

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3029 of 2025

Pradeep Kumar Yadav Son of Laldeo Prasad Yadav, resident of village -
Sonbigaha, P.O.- Choular, Tarawan, P.S. - Wazirganj, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Education Officer, Gaya.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Gaya.
6. The Block Education Officer, Wazirganj, District- Gaya.
7. The Panchayat Secretary-Cum-Member Secretary Panchayat Niyojan Unit Gram Pranchayat Raj, Mhugain, Block- Wazirganj, District - Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rama Kant Singh, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Venkatesh Kirti, AC to GA2

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 27-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for seeking
following relief(s):

*“For quashing of the letter bearing
Memo No. 28 dated 19.07.2024 issued
under the signature of the Panchayat
Secretary-cum-member Secretary
Panchayat Nigam Unit Gram Panchayat
Mahugain, Gaya whereby and
whereunder the service of the petitioner
has been terminated and also further
directed respondent authority to the pay
dues arrears of the salary to the*



petitioner with compound interest.

And/Or

For issuance of any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions for which the petitioner shall be found entitled to under the facts and circumstances of the accused stated here in after.”

3. Considering the relief(s) sought by the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to file an application before the District Appellate Authority within one month and, in the event, such application is filed by the petitioner, the authority concerned is directed to dispose of the same, in accordance with law, after hearing the parties, by a reasoned and speaking order, within six months thereafter.

4. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ application stands disposed of.

5. It goes without saying that if any question of limitation arises before the District Appellate Authority, the same shall be considered, taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner was pursuing the issue before this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U			
---	--	--	--

