

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15165 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-469 Year-2024 Thana- JANDAHA District- Vaishali

=====

Ashok Chaudhary @ Mangra S/O Late Madan Chaudhary R/O Village-
Pirapur, P.S.- Jandaha, Dist.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satya Nand Shukla

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 209-04-2025
1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises out of Jandaha Police Station Case No. 469 of 2024, dated 09.12.2024, disclosing offences under Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. The prosecution case, as per the First Information Report, is that on 08.12.2024, during patrolling, the police received secret information that the petitioner has brought consignment of illicit liquor in a truck near Govt. Middle School, Pirapur, and some other persons/liquor traders have also arrived there to buy liquor. The police reached at the place of occurrence and saw that one truck was standing near bamboo plantation and on seeing the police



party 4 to 5 persons started fleeing away and managed to escape. Upon search, the police recovered 1416.96 liters of illicit liquor from the truck, bearing registration no. BR06-G-7911.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case on mere suspicion and on the basis of secret information. He next submits that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and neither illicit liquor nor any incriminating articles has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner have no concern with the truck, in question, and/or illicit liquor.
5. Regarding being had to the submissions advanced by the parties and taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is having four criminal antecedents of similar nature, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.
6. This application is, accordingly, rejected.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

ashwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

