

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.465 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17349 of 2024

=====

Md. Shahid Nadaf, Son of Md. Wakil Nadaf, Resident of Gram- Pansiha,
Post- Ughra, P.S.-Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Darbhanga Darbhanga.
2. Sri Rajeev Raushan, The District Magistrate, Darbhanga.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashwini Kumar, Advocate Mr. Priyanka Anand Bhagat, Advocate Mr. Umang, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Standing Counsel 24

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 22-08-2025

Heard the parties.

2. The present application is filed for initiation of a contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for their willful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this Court dated 18.11.2024, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 17349 of 2024, whereby this Court directed the District Magistrate, Darbhanga to consider the claim of the petitioner in the light of Rule 9(7) of the CCA Rules, 2005 preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and pass appropriate order. It was further directed that the competent authority shall also take a decision on the prayer of the



petitioner for consequential benefits, in case the suspension is revoked.

3. A show-cause affidavit has been filed on behalf of opposite party no.2.

4. It has been informed that in pursuant to the order of this Court, the District Magistrate, Darbhanga vide its order, as contained in Memo no. 268475/2025, dated 16.07.2025 has revoked the suspension of the petitioner. So far the consequential benefits for the period of suspension is concerned, it has been resolved that the same shall be given to the petitioner in terms with the decision of the departmental proceeding.

5. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was kept under suspension for approximately six years and has further initiated belated departmental proceeding.

6. Be that as it may, considering the fact that in compliance with the order of this Court, a decision has been taken with respect to the revocation of suspension, as also the consequential order, this Court does not find any reason or occasion to continue with the contempt proceeding.

7. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands closed.



8. It is suffice to state that if the petitioner has still any grievance with regard to the consequential benefits upon revocation of his suspension, he has remedy to approach before the competent authority or before the Court, if so advised.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	27.08.2025
Transmission Date	NA

