

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15126 of 2016

=====

Rajeev Kumar Mishra Son of Late Rabindra Nath Mishra Resident of Near High School, Pipra Bazar, Ward No. 7, Police Station Pipra Bazar, District Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India and Ors
2. Director, Ministry of Road, Transport and Highways, New Delhi
3. The State of Bihar
4. Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. Land Acquisition officer, Supaul
6. Executive Engineer, National Highway Division, Madhepura
7. Mahanand Jha
8. Indra Mohan Jha
9. Raj Kumar Jha Respondent No. 7 to 9 are sons of Late Digambarjha
10. Bishambhar Jha
11. Subhankar Jha Respondent No. 10 and 11 are sons of Late Bisheshwar Jha Respondent No. 7 to 11 are Resident of village - Tola Kamalpur, Ward No. 6, P.S. P.O. Pipara Bazar, District - Supaul

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Baidya Nath Thakur, Advocate Mr. Anand Prakash Prabhakar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 15-09-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

For direction to the Land Acquisition Officer, Supaul (Respondent No.5) to make payment of compensation to the petitioner as his land appertaining to Khata no.117, Khesra no.81, admeasuring 5 Katha, situated in village- Tola Kamalpur,



P.S. Pipra, District-Supaul has been acquired and National Highway No. 106 from Kilometer 00.00 to Kilometer 136.00 (Birpur-Bihpur Section) constructed without payment of any compensation to him.

Further, the petitioner prays for issuance of any other appropriate writ(s)/order(s)/direction(s) for which the petitioner is found to be entitled to under the facts and circumstances of the case

3. In the presence of Mr. Baidya Nath Thakur and Mr. Anand Prakash learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs. Nutan Sahay learned AC to AAG 12 submits that the petitioner shall be exploring the statutory remedy available before him before a competent Civil Court.

4. Granting said liberty and if the petition is preferred in next eight weeks, the Court concerned shall take up the limitation petition and see to it that the matter was pending before this Court for nine years, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Raj Ranjan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

