

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.454 of 2019

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22426 of 2013

=====

Dinesh Prasad Son of Late Jagdeo Rai Resident of Village - Joriyahi, Recent Rehabilitation Bhatauliya, P.S. Bairagania, District - Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors through Sri Amir Subhani, The Secretary Home Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. Sri Ranjeet Kumar Singh, The District Magistrate, District- Sitamarhi
3. Sri Sujit Kumar, The Superintendent of Police, District- Sitamarhi
4. Sri Mukul Kumar Gupta, The Sub Divisional Officer, Sub-Division Sitamarhi Sadar, District- Sitamarhi
5. Sri Alok Kumar, The District Panchayati Raj Officer, District- Sitamarhi
6. Sri Vijay Kumar Mishra, The Block Development Officer, Block Development Office, Bairagania, District- Sitamarhi
7. Sri Amit Kumar, The Circle Officer, Circle Office, Bairagania, District- Sitamarhi
8. Jaipati Devi W/o Sheoji Mahto Resident of Village- Parsauni, P.S.- Bairagania, District- Sitamarhi, the then Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Raj, Parsauni, District- Sitamarhi
9. Sheoji Mahto Son of Late Parichhan Mahto, husband of Mukhiya Jaipati Devi husband of Mukhiya Jaipati Devi of Gram Panchayat Rah Parsauni, Police Station- Bairagania, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Verma (Aag3)
Mr. Saroj Kr. Sharma, AC to Aag- 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA

ORAL ORDER

5 18-04-2025 This contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 21.06.2017 passed in CWJC No. 22426 of 2013, wherein, this Court had disposed of the writ petition on the submission of learned AC to GP- 2 that the construction material along with the earth work will be removed within a



period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of the copy of this order, if it has already not been removed.

2. Opposite party nos. 2, 4, 5, 6, & 7 have filed a show cause on 11.04.2025, wherein, in paragraph No. 6 it has been stated that at present construction material along with earth work do not subsist over the land in question.

3. On perusal of the show cause filed by the opposite parties, as noted hereinabove, I find that the order dated 21.06.2017 passed in CWJC No. 22426 of 2013 has been duly complied with by the respondents.

4. In view of the above, this contempt application stands closed and disposed of.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Siddharth
Sagar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

